

)	
)	
Commodity Futures Trading Commission,)	CIVIL ACTION NO: 3:22-cv-5416
)	
Plaintiff,)	Hon. _____
)	
v.)	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
)	AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF
Ooki DAO (formerly d/b/a bZx DAO), an)	AND CIVIL MONETARY
unincorporated association,)	PENALTIES UNDER THE
)	COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT
Defendant.)	AND COMMISSION REGULATIONS
)	

COMPLAINT

1 similarly to a trading platform). The bZx Protocol permitted users to contribute margin
2 (collateral) to open leveraged positions whose ultimate value was determined by the price
3 difference between two virtual currencies from the time the position was established to the time
4 it was closed. The bZx Protocol purported to offer users the ability to engage in these
5 transactions in a decentralized environment—i.e., without third-party intermediaries taking
6 custody of user assets. In so doing, bZeroX—which had never registered with the
7 Commission—unlawfully engaged in activities that could only lawfully be performed by a
8 registered designated contract market (“DCM”) and other activities that could only lawfully be
9 performed by a registered futures commission merchant (“FCM”) under the Commodity
10 Exchange Act (the “Act”), 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26, and Commission Regulations (“Regulations”),
11 17 C.F.R. pts. 1-190 (2021). In addition, bZeroX failed to conduct know-your-customer
12 (“KYC”) diligence on its customers as part of a customer identification program (“CIP”), as
13 required of FCMs by the Regulations.¹

14
15
16 2. On approximately August 23, 2021, bZeroX transferred control of the bZx
17 Protocol to the bZx DAO, a decentralized autonomous organization (“DAO”), which
18 subsequently, on approximately December 18, 2021, renamed itself and is now doing business as
19 the Ooki DAO. The Ooki DAO is an unincorporated association comprised of holders of
20 OokiDAO Tokens (“Ooki Tokens”) who vote those tokens to govern (e.g., to modify, operate,
21 market, and take other actions with respect to) the bZx Protocol (which the Ooki DAO has
22 renamed the “Ooki Protocol”).
23

24
25 ¹ In an Order filed concurrently with this Complaint, bZeroX and two individuals who
26 controlled it (the “bZx Founders”) resolved charges with the Commission in connection with this
27 unlawful conduct. *See In re bZeroX, LLC, Tom Bean, and Kyle Kistner*, CFTC No. 22-31 (Sept.
28 22, 2022). Accordingly, this Complaint does not charge or seek relief related to conduct by
bZeroX and the bZx Founders during the bZx Relevant Period; although, such conduct is
relevant to this Complaint.

3. A key bZeroX objective in transferring control of the bZx Protocol (now the Ooki Protocol) to the bZx DAO (now the Ooki DAO) was to attempt to render the bZx DAO, by its decentralized nature, enforcement-proof. Put simply, the bZx Founders believed they had identified a way to violate the Act and Regulations, as well as other laws, without consequence. A bZx Founder so stated on a call with bZeroX community members prior to transferring control of the bZx Protocol to the bZx DAO:

It's really exciting. We're going to be really preparing for the new regulatory environment by ensuring bZx is future-proof. So many people across the industry right now are getting legal notices and lawmakers are trying to decide whether they want DeFi companies to register as virtual asset service providers or not – and really what we're going to do is take all the steps possible to make sure that when regulators ask us to comply, that we have nothing we can really do because we've given it all to the community.

The bZx Founders were wrong, however. DAOs are not immune from enforcement and may not violate the law with impunity.

4. From approximately August 23, 2021 to the present (the “DAO Relevant Period”), the Ooki DAO² has operated, marketed, and made solicitations concerning the Ooki Protocol³ that accepted orders for and facilitated margined and leveraged retail commodity transactions. The Ooki DAO exists for the exact same purpose as bZeroX before it—to run a business, and specifically, to operate and monetize the Ooki Protocol. The Ooki DAO has done so through the votes of Ooki Token holders (or of BZRX Token holders, when the Ooki DAO was doing business as the bZx DAO) who, through their votes, chose to participate in running that business. Just like the bZx Protocol during the bZx Relevant Period, the Ooki Protocol during the DAO Relevant Period has permitted, and continues to permit, users to contribute

² Herein, “Ooki DAO” refers to the “Ooki DAO, formerly doing business as the bZx DAO during the DAO Relevant Period.”

³ Herein, “Ooki Protocol” refers to the “Ooki Protocol, formerly named and operating as the bZx Protocol during the DAO Relevant Period.”

margin (collateral) to open leveraged positions whose value is determined by the price difference between two virtual currencies from the time the position is established to the time it is closed. The Ooki Protocol purports to offer users the ability to engage in these transactions in a decentralized environment—i.e., without third-party intermediaries taking custody of user assets. In so doing, the Ooki DAO—which has never registered with the Commission—is unlawfully engaging in activities that can only lawfully be performed by a registered DCM and other activities that can only lawfully be performed by a registered FCM under the Act and Regulations. In addition, the Ooki DAO does not conduct KYC diligence on its customers (and in fact advertises the lack of KYC requirements as a positive feature of the Ooki Protocol) as part of a CIP, as required of FCMs by the Regulations.

5. By virtue of the Ooki DAO’s conduct during the DAO Relevant Period as set forth above and described further herein, the Ooki DAO has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in acts and practices in violation of Sections 4(a) and 4d(a)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6(a), 6d(a)(1), and Regulation 42.2, 17 C.F.R. § 42.2 (2021).

6. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, the Ooki DAO will likely continue to engage in acts and practices alleged in this Complaint and similar acts and practices, as described below.

7. Accordingly, the Commission brings this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1, to enjoin Defendant’s unlawful acts and practices, to compel its compliance with the Act and the Regulations promulgated thereunder, and to enjoin it from engaging in any commodity-related activity. In addition, the Commission seeks civil monetary penalties and remedial ancillary relief, including, but not limited to, trading and registration bans, restitution, disgorgement from Defendant, rescission, pre- and post-judgment interest, and such other and further relief as the Court may deem necessary and appropriate.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

8. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 28 U.S.C. § 1345 (district courts have original jurisdiction over civil actions commenced by the United States or by any agency expressly authorized to sue by Act of Congress). Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a), authorizes the CFTC to seek injunctive relief against any person whenever it shall appear to the CFTC that such person has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order thereunder.

9. Venue lies properly in this Court pursuant to 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) because the Ooki DAO transacted business in this District and certain transactions, acts, practices, and courses of business in violation of the Act occurred, are occurring, or are about to occur in this District, among other places.

III. PARTIES

A. The CFTC

10. Plaintiff **Commodity Futures Trading Commission** is the independent federal regulatory agency charged by Congress with the administration and enforcement of the Commodity Exchange Act and Regulations promulgated thereunder.

B. Defendant

11. Defendant **Ooki DAO**, formerly doing business as the bZx DAO, is an unincorporated association comprised of holders of Ooki Tokens (or of BZRX Tokens, when the Ooki DAO was doing business as the bZx DAO) who have voted those tokens to govern (e.g., to modify, operate, market, and take other actions with respect to) the Ooki Protocol (formerly named the bZx Protocol) during the DAO Relevant Period. The Ooki DAO has never been registered with the Commission in any capacity.

1 **IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

2 **A. To Protect Members of the Public, Retail Commodity Transactions**
 3 **Must Be Offered on a Regulated Exchange.**

4 12. The purpose of the Act is to “serve the public interests . . . through a system of
 5 effective self-regulation of trading facilities, clearing systems, market participants and market
 6 professionals under the oversight of the Commission,” as well as “to deter and prevent price
 7 manipulation or any other disruptions to market integrity; to ensure the financial integrity of all
 8 transactions subject to [the] Act and the avoidance of systemic risk; to protect all market
 9 participants from fraudulent or other abusive sales practices and misuses of customer assets; and
 10 to promote responsible innovation and fair competition among boards of trade, other markets and
 11 market participants.” Section 3 of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 5.

12 13. Derivatives are financial instruments such as futures, options or swaps that derive
 13 their value from something else, like a benchmark or a physical commodity. The Act requires
 14 that, subject to certain exemptions, commodity derivative transactions must be conducted on
 15 exchanges designated by, or registered with, the CFTC. For example, trading of commodity
 16 futures contracts must be conducted on a board of trade designated by the CFTC as a contract
 17 market or a registered foreign board of trade. Section 4 of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6; Regulation
 18 48.3, 17 C.F.R. § 48.3 (2021).

19 14. Retail commodity transactions are transactions that are entered into with, or
 20 offered to, non-eligible contract participants⁴ “on a leveraged or margined basis, or financed by
 21 the offeror, the counterparty, or a person acting in concert with the offeror or counterparty on a
 22
 23
 24

25 _____
 26 ⁴ An eligible contract participant (“ECP”) is, in general, an individual who has amounts
 27 invested on a discretionary basis, the aggregate of which is in excess of \$10 million, or \$5
 28 million if the individual enters into the transaction “in order to manage the risk associated with
 an asset owned or liability incurred, or reasonably likely to be owned or incurred, by the
 individual.” Section 1a(18)(xi) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(18)(xi).

1 similar basis” and which does not result in actual delivery within 28 days. Section 2(c)(2)(D)(i),
 2 (ii)(III)(aa) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(D)(i), (ii)(III)(aa). Retail commodity transactions are
 3 subject to 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) “as if” they are a contract of sale of a commodity for future delivery
 4 and therefore must be executed on a regulated exchange. 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(D)(iii).

5
 6 15. A digital asset is anything that can be stored and transmitted electronically and
 7 has associated ownership or use rights. Digital assets include virtual currencies, such as bitcoin
 8 or ether, which are digital representations of value that function as mediums of exchange, units
 9 of account, and/or stores of value. Certain digital assets, including those alleged herein, are
 10 “commodities” as defined under Section 1a(9) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(9). Accordingly,
 11 transactions in digital assets that are otherwise retail commodity transactions under 7 U.S.C. §
 12 2(c)(2)(D) must be executed on a regulated exchange. 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(D)(iii).

13
 14 16. The requirement to execute retail commodity transactions on a regulated
 15 exchange is necessary to ensure vital protections for U.S. derivatives markets and market
 16 participants. For example, DCMs: (a) must conform to core principles that are designed to
 17 prevent market abuse, Section 5(d)(12)(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 7(d)(12)(a); (b) ensure their
 18 financial stability, 7 U.S.C. § 7(d)(21); (c) ensure that the contracts they list for trading are “not
 19 readily susceptible to manipulation,” 7 U.S.C. § 7(d)(3); (d) “prevent market disruption,”
 20 7 U.S.C. § 7(d)(4); (e) protect their information security, Regulation 38.1051(a)(2), 17 C.F.R.
 21 § 38.1051(a)(2) (2021); (f) safeguard their systems in the event of a disaster, 17 C.F.R.
 22 §§ 38.1051(a)(3) (2021); (g) impose position limits designed to reduce the potential threat of
 23 market manipulation or congestion, 7 U.S.C. § 7(d)(5); (h) establish and enforce rules to
 24 minimize conflicts of interest, 7 U.S.C. § 7(d)(16); and (i) maintain and retain important records
 25 and provide them to the Commission, 7 U.S.C. §§ 7(d)(18).

1 17. When entities offer retail commodity transactions (and other transactions that are
2 required to be executed on a regulated exchange) outside of a regulated exchange, and without
3 adherence to the core principles with which regulated exchanges must comply, they place
4 members of the public at significant risk of harm.

5
6 **B. To Protect Members of the Public, Only Registered FCMs May Solicit**
7 **or Accept Orders for, and Accept Funds to Margin, Retail Commodity**
8 **Transactions.**

9 18. An FCM is an individual, association, partnership, corporation, or trust that is:
10 (i) engaged in soliciting or in accepting orders for regulated transactions including futures,
11 swaps, commodity options, or retail commodity transactions, or (ii) acts as a counterparty to
12 retail commodity transactions; and which, in connection with these activities, “accepts any
13 money, securities, or property (or extends credit in lieu thereof) to margin, guarantee, or secure
14 any trades or contracts that result or may result therefrom.” Section 1a(28)(A) of the Act,
15 7 U.S.C. § 1a(28)(A).

16 19. FCMs hold customer funds to margin commodity derivative transactions. They
17 are a critical component of the U.S. financial system, and therefore must meet stringent
18 requirements imposed by the Act and Regulations. Among the most fundamental of these
19 requirements is Section 4d(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6d(a), which makes it illegal for any person
20 to act as an FCM unless registered as such with the Commission.

21 20. Like the requirement to conduct retail commodity transactions on a regulated
22 exchange, the requirement that only registered FCMs may solicit or accept orders for, and accept
23 funds to margin, retail commodity transactions is necessary to ensure vital protections for United
24 States derivatives markets and market participants. For example, FCMs must segregate customer
25 assets to protect them from the risk of the FCM’s insolvency, 7 U.S.C. § 6d(a)(2); establish
26 safeguards to prevent conflicts of interest, 7 U.S.C. § 6d(c); and employ only salespeople who
27
28

1 register with the CFTC and meet strict proficiency requirements, Section 4k(1) of the Act,
 2 7 U.S.C. § 6k(1).

3 21. When entities solicit and accept orders for and accept funds to margin retail
 4 commodity transactions without registering as an FCM and without adhering to the customer-
 5 protection requirements with which FCMs must comply, they place members of the public at
 6 significant risk of harm.
 7

8 **C. To Prevent Money Laundering and Transactions by Prohibited**
 9 **Persons, FCMs Must Adopt CIPs to Verify the Identifies of their**
 10 **Customers.**

11 22. Regulation 42.2, 17 C.F.R. § 42.2 (2021), requires, among other things, that every
 12 FCM shall comply with the applicable provisions of the Bank Secrecy Act (“BSA”) and the
 13 regulations promulgated by the Department of the Treasury under that Act at 31 C.F.R.
 14 chapter X (2021), and with the requirements of 31 U.S.C. § 5318(l) and the implementing
 15 regulation jointly promulgated by the Commission and the Department of the Treasury at
 16 31 C.F.R. § 1026.220 (2021), which require that a CIP be adopted as part of the firm’s BSA
 17 compliance program.

18 23. 31 U.S.C. § 5318(l) requires, among other things, that financial institutions such
 19 as FCMs implement reasonable procedures to verify the identity of any person seeking to open
 20 an account, maintain records of information used to verify a person’s identity, and consult lists of
 21 known or suspected terrorists or terrorist organizations (such as those created and distributed by
 22 the Office of Foreign Asset Control of the United States Department of Treasury (“OFAC”)) to
 23 determine whether a person seeking to open an account appears on any such list.
 24

25 24. The regulations promulgated by the Department of Treasury under 31 C.F.R.
 26 chapter X require, as relevant here, that every FCM must: (1) implement a written CIP that, at a
 27 minimum, includes procedures for verifying the identity of each customer sufficient to enable the
 28

FCM to form a reasonable belief that it knows the true identity of each customer; (2) retain records collected pursuant to the CIP; and (3) implement procedures for determining whether a customer appears on any list of known or suspected terrorists or terrorist organizations.

V. FACTS

A. During the bZx Relevant Period, bZeroX and the bZx Founders Designed, Deployed, Operated, Marketed, and Controlled the bZx Protocol.

25. A blockchain is a distributed, shared, immutable ledger that facilitates the process of recording transactions and tracking digital assets in a consensus-based network. A “smart contract” is a self-enforcing piece of computer code containing all terms of a contract—meaning the software can execute the agreement contained in the contract without additional input from the parties.

26. During the bZx Relevant Period, the bZx Protocol was a collection of smart contracts on the Ethereum blockchain that purported to facilitate transactions without intermediaries.

27. As set forth above, digital assets include virtual currencies. Ether (“ETH”) is the Ethereum blockchain’s native virtual currency. In addition, Ethereum’s ERC-20 token standard permits the conversion of non-ETH virtual currencies into tokens that can be traded on Ethereum. For example, DAI is an ERC-20 token that can be transacted with on the Ethereum blockchain and whose value is pegged one-to-one to the price of the U.S. dollar.

28. The bZx Protocol enabled any person with an Ethereum wallet to contribute margin (collateral) to open leveraged positions whose ultimate value was determined by the price difference between two digital assets from the time the position was established to the time it was closed. For example, if a trader believed that the price of ETH would rise relative to the price of DAI, the trader might open, for example, a 5x long position in ETH versus DAI (i.e., a

1 position worth five times the increase in the price of ETH relative to DAI from the time the
2 position was established and the time it was closed). To do so, the trader would proceed as
3 follows:

- 4 a. The trader would post collateral (e.g., ETH) to a bZx Protocol smart contract as
5 margin to open the leveraged position. (Notably, positions on the bZx Protocol were
6 required to be overcollateralized—i.e., the value of the collateral was required to
7 exceed the value of the borrowed asset. This was to ensure repayment of the
8 borrowed asset. Prior to a trader closing an open position, if the position had lost too
9 much value, the bZx Protocol was designed to facilitate the automatic liquidation of
10 the position and retention and sale of the posted collateral to cover the loss.)
- 11 b. The smart contract would borrow DAI from a bZx Protocol liquidity pool, whose
12 assets were supplied by liquidity providers who, in exchange, had received interest-
13 generating tokens as well as BZRX Protocol Tokens (“BZRX Tokens”) conferring
14 voting rights on certain matters relevant to bZx Protocol governance. (The BZRX
15 Tokens conferred voting rights in proportion to the holder’s percentage of total
16 BZRX Tokens issued. Additional BZRX Tokens were otherwise minted and
17 allocated to certain individuals.)
- 18 c. The smart contract would exchange the borrowed DAI for ETH on a separate, on-
19 chain decentralized exchange.
- 20 d. The smart contract would lock (i.e., prevent from being withdrawn absent conditions
21 expressly written into the smart contract) the newly received ETH and create a token
22 representing the newly established 5x long position.
- 23 e. The smart contract would send that token to the trader.

1 29. If the trader was correct (i.e., that the price of ETH would rise relative to the price
2 of DAI), the trader could redeem the token reflecting the position for a profit (i.e., the smart
3 contract would transfer the resulting profit to the trader). If the trader was incorrect (i.e., the
4 price of ETH did not rise relative to the price of DAI), the trader could still redeem the token,
5 except instead of paying profits, the smart contract would retain however much of the collateral
6 was needed to cover the loss.
7

8 30. If the trader wished to open a short position, the trading mechanics would be
9 similar, except the trader would borrow ETH and swap it into DAI. Traders could open similar
10 positions involving various additional virtual currencies.
11

12 31. The transactions on the bZx Protocol did not involve contracts of sale of digital
13 assets; rather, they involved leveraged positions whose value was determined by the price
14 difference between two digital assets. Positions on the bZx Protocol automatically rolled over
15 every 28 days (and could thus exist perpetually) and could be liquidated at any time.
16

17 32. bZeroX, through the bZx Founders, among others, developed a website to market,
18 solicit orders for, and facilitate access to the bZx Protocol. For example, bZeroX's website
19 claimed that it offered a superior margin trading experience because "[t]here is no need for any
20 verification, KYC or AML." The website further claimed that bZeroX purportedly did not take
21 custody of users' assets, and there were minimal liquidation penalties. The bZx Founders also
22 made public statements, appeared in interviews, wrote articles, led calls with community
23 members that are publicly available on YouTube, and otherwise publicly marketed and solicited
24 members of the public to utilize the bZx Protocol. The bZeroX website enabled users, through
25 the click of a few buttons, to transfer assets and open positions on the bZx Protocol using the
26 mechanics described above.
27
28

1 33. bZeroX collected fees from users, including origination fees, trading fees, and a
2 percentage of interest paid to lenders. bZeroX purports to have collected approximately \$50,000
3 in fees prior to June 2020 and, between June 2020 and August 2021, approximately \$500,000 in
4 fees).⁵

5
6 34. Prior to August 23, 2021 (at which time bZeroX transferred control of the bZx
7 Protocol to the bZx DAO), bZeroX retained “administrator keys” (“Keys”) permitting bZeroX to
8 access and control the operation of, and the funds held in, the smart contracts involved in the
9 above processes. The Keys enabled bZeroX to, for example, update relevant smart contract code
10 to adjust how the smart contracts operated; pause or suspend trading; pause or suspend
11 contributions or withdrawals of assets and redemptions of tokens to close positions; and
12 otherwise direct disposition of the funds held in the bZx Protocol smart contracts.

13
14 35. During the bZx Relevant Period, bZeroX did not maintain a CIP and explicitly
15 advertised the lack of KYC or AML compliance as a positive feature of the bZx Protocol.
16 bZeroX offered any user anywhere in the world (including in the United States) the ability to
17 trade on the bZx Protocol and, specifically, did not take any steps to exclude U.S. persons and/or
18 non-ECPs from the bZx Protocol.

19
20 36. At least twice during the bZx Relevant Period, third parties engaged in
21 manipulative conduct on the bZx Protocol that resulted in the loss of customer funds. This is
22 precisely the sort of conduct that compliance with the Commission’s rules and regulations
23 described above—and adherence to core-principles and regulations such as offering transactions
24

25
26
27 ⁵ Fees are held in BZRX Tokens or 3CRV (a stablecoin that is redeemable for DAI, USDT,
28 or USDC on Curve.Finance). The listed figures reflect approximate conversions to U.S. Dollars
as of approximately September 14, 2021.

1 on a platform not readily susceptible to manipulation and segregating customer funds to
 2 minimize risk of loss—is designed to prevent and/or mitigate.

3 37. Specifically, on approximately February 14 and 17, 2020, one or more
 4 anonymous users entered into transactions on the bZx Protocol and other platforms that resulted
 5 in user(s) allegedly: (1) intentionally defaulting on a bZx Protocol loan which the user had
 6 allegedly deliberately undercollateralized by borrowing assets at a price the user had, allegedly,
 7 intentionally artificially deflated through arbitrage trades across various platforms; and (2)
 8 manipulating pricing “oracles” (i.e., third-party pricing sources on which the bZx Protocol
 9 relied) to improperly profit on transactions on the bZx Protocol. Because bZeroX did not
 10 conduct KYC on its customers, bZeroX could not identify the individual(s) who engaged in this
 11 conduct. Relevant here, in each case, bZeroX utilized its Keys to pause trading and withdrawals,
 12 and to implement fixes to the smart contract code, to address the existing or potential losses to
 13 the bZx Protocol.
 14

15
 16 **B. During the DAO Relevant Period, the bZx DAO (Eventually Renamed**
 17 **the Ooki DAO During the DAO Relevant Period) Controlled and**
 18 **Operated the bZx Protocol (Eventually Renamed the Ooki Protocol**
 19 **During the DAO Relevant Period).**

20 38. On approximately August 23, 2021, bZeroX transferred control of the bZx
 21 Protocol (including relevant Keys) to the bZx DAO. From that point forward, the bZx DAO
 22 could act with respect to the bZx Protocol only through a vote of BZRX Token holders.

23 39. The bZx DAO was an unincorporated association comprised of BZRX Token
 24 holders who voted those tokens to govern the bZx Protocol.

25 40. As set forth in Paragraph 3, the bZx Founders believed that transitioning to a
 26 DAO would insulate the bZx Protocol from regulatory oversight and accountability for
 27 compliance with U.S. law.
 28

1 41. In practice, however, the bZx DAO controlled and operated the bZx Protocol just
2 as bZeroX before it had done. Specifically:

- 3 a. The bZx Protocol continued to enable any person with a compatible digital asset
4 wallet to contribute margin (collateral) to open leveraged positions whose value was
5 determined by the price difference between two digital assets from the time the
6 position was established to the time it was closed, utilizing the mechanics described
7 in Paragraphs 28-31;
- 8 b. The bZx DAO continued to market, solicit orders for, and facilitate access to the bZx
9 Protocol, including through individuals acting on the bZx DAO's behalf (such as the
10 bZx Founders) and the front-end website the bZx DAO now controlled, as described
11 in Paragraph 32;
- 12 c. The bZx DAO continued to collect the same kinds of fees, including origination
13 fees, trading fees, and a percentage of interest paid to lenders, that bZeroX had
14 collected, as described in Paragraph 33;
- 15 d. The bZx DAO now controlled the Keys, which enabled the bZx DAO to access and
16 control the operation of, and the funds held in, the relevant bZx Protocol smart
17 contracts, as described in Paragraph 34; and
- 18 e. The bZx DAO, through its front-end website, continued to advertise the lack of KYC
19 and AML requirements as a positive feature of the bZx Protocol; offer any user
20 anywhere in the world (including in the United States) the ability to trade on the bZx
21 Protocol; and not take any steps to exclude U.S. persons and/or non-ECPs from the
22 bZx Protocol, just like bZeroX before it, as described in Paragraph 35.

23 42. Just as bZeroX (like any LLC) governed the bZx Protocol through the votes of its
24 members (i.e., the bZx Founders), the bZx DAO governed the bZx Protocol through the votes of
25
26
27
28

1 BZRX Token holders. Specifically, any BZRX Token holder had the right to propose, and to
2 vote his or her BZRX Tokens to effect, changes to the bZx Protocol or otherwise shape the
3 direction of the bZx DAO's business.

4 43. The general process for BZRX Token holders to govern the bZx DAO was that
5 any new proposals were first discussed on the bZx Community Forum ("bZx Forum").
6 Proposals that were not widely supported typically ended there. If there was sufficient support, a
7 non-binding "snapshot vote" could be conducted to gauge support for a particular proposal. If a
8 proponent believed there was sufficient support, a binding vote could be held directly on the bZx
9 Protocol through a fork of the Compound Bravo Governance Module smart contract that enabled
10 BZRX Token holders to vote those tokens for or against a proposal. Approved proposals were
11 implemented by individuals as authorized by the bZx DAO.

12 44. For example, on approximately August 24, 2021, the bZx Founders proposed in
13 the bZx Forum that the bZx DAO approve an omnibus funding plan for going-forward bZx DAO
14 operations—including release of funds for marketing, operations, development, community
15 management, and legal expenses. The proposal passed a snapshot vote unanimously, and BZRX
16 Token holders voted to approve the proposal in September 2021. Funds were subsequently
17 released from the bZx DAO Treasury (which contained bZx Protocol revenue) to pay the
18 approved expenses.

19 45. Similarly, in approximately December 2021, the bZx DAO voted to utilize funds
20 from the bZx DAO Treasury to compensate certain bZx DAO members and other users of the
21 bZx Protocol who lost funds in connection with an alleged November 2021 security breach and
22 theft of funds on the bZx Protocol. (The November 2021 incident involved a spearfishing attack
23 against a bZx DAO developer through whom the perpetrator allegedly gained access to, and
24 stole, bZx Protocol assets as well as the developer's personal assets. Like the February 2020
25
26
27
28

1 incidents described above, this illustrates why retail commodity transactions may only lawfully
2 be offered on regulated exchanges, and why only registered FCMs may accept customer funds to
3 margin such transactions—the core principles and rules applicable to registered entities are
4 designed to prevent and/or mitigate the risk of such incidents occurring and customer harm in the
5 event they do occur.)

6
7 46. On approximately December 18, 2021, through a vote of BZRX Token holders,
8 the bZx DAO renamed itself the Ooki DAO. This was simply a rebranding in name only (and
9 was repeatedly described by members of the bZx DAO as merely a “rebrand”) and did not result
10 in legal changes to the DAO’s business or material changes to its operations. The Ooki DAO
11 now operates the bZx Protocol (which the Ooki DAO has renamed the Ooki Protocol) in the
12 exact same manner that the bZx DAO operated the bZx Protocol, for example as described in
13 Paragraph 41.
14

15 47. The Ooki DAO is an unincorporated association comprised of Ooki Token
16 holders who have voted those tokens to govern the Ooki Protocol.

17 48. The Ooki DAO website describes specific Ooki DAO procedures for proposing
18 and voting on Ooki DAO governance proposals, which are consistent with the procedures
19 utilized when the Ooki DAO was doing business as the bZx DAO, as summarized in Paragraphs
20 42-43. In short, the Ooki DAO is governed by the vote of holders of Ooki Tokens, which are
21 issued in the same manner that BZRX Tokens were issued by the bZx DAO. Holders of BZRX
22 Tokens have the ability to convert those tokens into Ooki Tokens.
23

24 49. During the DAO Relevant Period, multiple Ooki DAO members have resided in
25 the United States and have conducted Ooki DAO business (for example, voting Ooki Tokens to
26 govern the Ooki DAO and operate the Ooki Protocol) from within the United States.
27
28

50. During the DAO Relevant Period, the Ooki Protocol has been deployed, and is made available by the Ooki DAO, on multiple blockchains, including the Polygon and Binance Smart Chain blockchains in addition to the Ethereum blockchain.

VI. VIOLATIONS OF THE COMMODITY EXCHANGE ACT AND REGULATIONS

COUNT I

Violations of Section 4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6(a)

Engaging in Unlawful Off-Exchange Leveraged and Margined Retail Commodity Transactions

51. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 50 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.

52. Virtual currencies such as ETH, DAI, and others traded on the Ooki Protocol are “commodities” under the Act.

53. Retail commodity transactions are transactions that are entered into with, or offered to, non-eligible contract participants “on a leveraged or margined basis, or financed by the offeror, the counterparty, or a person acting in concert with the offeror or counterparty on a similar basis” and which does not result in actual delivery within 28 days. Section 2(c)(2)(D)(i), (ii)(III)(aa) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(D)(i), (ii)(III)(aa).

54. Retail commodity transactions are subject to 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) “as if” they are a contract of sale of a commodity for future delivery and therefore must be executed on a regulated exchange. Section 2(c)(2)(D)(iii) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(c)(2)(D)(iii).

55. 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) makes it unlawful for any person to offer to enter into, enter into, execute, confirm the execution of, or conduct an office or business in the United States for the purpose of soliciting, or accepting any order for, or otherwise dealing in any transaction in, or in connection with, a contract for the purchase or sale of a commodity for future delivery, unless

1 such transaction is made on or subject to the rules of a board of trade that has been designated or
 2 registered by the CFTC as a contract market for the specific commodity (i.e., a DCM).

3 56. During the DAO Relevant Period, Defendant Ooki DAO, by and through its
 4 members (i.e., the Ooki Token holders who voted their Ooki Tokens to govern the Ooki DAO
 5 and operate the Ooki Protocol), officers, employees, and/or agents, violated and is continuing to
 6 violate 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) by:
 7

8 a. offering to enter into retail commodity transactions;
 9 b. entering into retail commodity transactions;
 10 c. executing retail commodity transactions;
 11 d. confirming the execution of retail commodity transactions; and/or
 12 e. conducting an office or business in the United States for the purpose of
 13 soliciting and/or accepting any order for, and/or otherwise dealing in, any
 14 transaction in, or in connection with, retail commodity transactions;
 15 without conducting such transactions on or subject to the rules of a board of trade that was
 16 designated or registered by the CFTC as a contract market.
 17

18 57. The Ooki DAO's retail commodity transactions are and were offered, entered into
 19 or executed on a leveraged or margined basis.
 20

21 58. The Ooki DAO's retail commodity transactions are and were offered to, entered
 22 into or executed with persons who are not eligible contract participants or eligible commercial
 23 entities and who are not engaged in a line of business related to virtual currencies.

24 59. Each offer to enter into, entry into, execution of, confirmation of the execution of,
 25 and/or act of conducting an office or business in the United States relating to retail commodity
 26 transactions, including, without limitation, those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a
 27 separate and distinct violation of 7 U.S.C. § 6(a).
 28

60. The acts, omissions, and failures of the members of the Ooki DAO unincorporated association (i.e., the Ooki Token holders who voted their Ooki Tokens to govern the Ooki DAO by, for example, directing the operation of the Ooki Protocol), as well as of those authorized to work on behalf of the Ooki DAO, were done within the scope of their office, employment, or agency with the Ooki DAO. Therefore, pursuant to Section 2(a)(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B), and Regulation 1.2, 17 C.F.R. § 1.2 (2021), the Ooki DAO is liable as a principal for each act, omission, or failure of the members, officers, employees, or agents acting for the Ooki DAO.

COUNT II

Violation of Section 4d of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6d

Engaging in Activities That Can Only Lawfully Be Performed by a Registered Futures Commission Merchant

61. Paragraphs 1 through 50 of this Complaint are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.

62. Section 1a(28) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(28), in relevant part, defines an FCM as any individual, association, partnership, corporation or trust that engages in soliciting or in accepting orders for “any agreement, contract, or transaction described in... section (2)(c)(2)(D)(i)” and, in connection therewith, “accepts any money... or property (or extends credit in lieu thereof) to margin . . . trades or contracts that result or may result therefrom.”

63. Section 4d(a)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6d(a)(1), in pertinent part, makes it unlawful for any person to act as an FCM unless registered with the Commission as an FCM.

64. During the DAO Relevant Period, Defendant Ooki DAO, by and through its members, officers, employees, and/or agents, has operated as an FCM, and is continuing to operate as an FCM, by:

- a. engaging in soliciting or accepting orders for agreements, contracts or transactions described in section 2(c)(2)(D)(i) of the Act (retail commodity transactions); and
- b. in or in connection with such activities, accepting money, securities, or property (or extending credit in lieu thereof) to margin, guarantee, or secure resulting trades on the Ooki Protocol platform.

65. During the Relevant Period, Defendant Ooki DAO, by and through its members, officers, employees, and/or agents, violated and is continuing to violate 7 U.S.C. § 6d by failing to register with the Commission as an FCM.

66. Each act in violation of 7 U.S.C. § 6d, including, but not limited to, those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation.

67. The acts, omissions, and failures of the members of the Ooki DAO unincorporated association (i.e., the Ooki Token holders who voted their Ooki Tokens to govern the Ooki DAO by, for example, directing the operation of the Ooki Protocol), as well as of those authorized to work on behalf of the Ooki DAO, were done within the scope of their office, employment, or agency with the Ooki DAO. Therefore, pursuant to 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B) and 17 C.F.R. § 1.2, the Ooki DAO is liable as a principal for each act, omission, or failure of the members, officers, employees, or agents acting for the Ooki DAO.

COUNT III

Violations of Regulation 42.2, 17 C.F.R. § 42.2 (2021)

Failure to Implement Customer Information Program and Failure to Implement Know Your Customer and Anti-Money Laundering Procedures

68. Paragraphs 1 through 50 of this Complaint are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.

69. 17 C.F.R. § 42.2 provides that every FCM “shall comply with the applicable provisions of the Bank Secrecy Act and the regulations promulgated by the Department of the Treasury under that Act at 31 CFR chapter X (2021), and with the requirements of 31 U.S.C. 5318(l) and the implementing regulation jointly promulgated by the Commission and the Department of the Treasury at 31 CFR 1026.220 (2021), which require that a customer identification program be adopted as part of the firm's Bank Secrecy Act compliance program.”

70. During the Relevant Period, Defendant Ooki DAO, by and through its members, officers, employees, and/or agents, violated and is continuing to violate 17 C.F.R. § 42.2 by failing to implement a Customer Information Program, failing to implement Know-Your-Customer policies and procedures, failing to implement an Anti-Money Laundering program, failing to retain required customer information, and failing to implement procedures to determine whether a customer appears on lists of known or suspected terrorists or terrorist organizations such as those issued by OFAC.

71. Each act in violation of 17 C.F.R. § 42.2, including, but not limited to, those specifically alleged herein, is alleged as a separate and distinct violation.

72. The acts, omissions, and failures of the members of the Ooki DAO unincorporated association (i.e., the Ooki Token holders who voted their Ooki Tokens to govern the Ooki DAO by, for example, directing the operation of the Ooki Protocol), as well as of those authorized to work on behalf of the Ooki DAO, were done within the scope of their office, employment, or agency with the Ooki DAO. Therefore, pursuant to 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B) and 17 C.F.R. § 1.2, the Ooki DAO is liable as a principal for each act, omission, or failure of the members, officers, employees, or agents acting for the Ooki DAO.

VII. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court, as authorized by Section

6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1, and pursuant to the Court's own equitable powers, enter:

A. An order finding that Defendant Ooki DAO, by and through its members, officers, employees, and agents, violated Section 4(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6(a); Section 4d of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6d; and Regulation 42.2, 17 C.F.R. § 42.2 (2021).

B. An order of permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant Ooki DAO, including all members of the Ooki DAO (i.e., the Ooki Token holders who voted their Ooki Tokens to govern the Ooki DAO by, for example, directing the operation of the Ooki Protocol), and any other person or entity associated with it, from engaging in conduct described above, in violation of 7 U.S.C. §§ 6(a), 6d, and 17 C.F.R. § 42.2.

C. An order of permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant Ooki DAO and any of its affiliates, agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, attorneys, and persons in active concert or participation with Defendant, from directly or indirectly:

- (i) trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity (as that term is defined in Section 1a of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(40));
- (ii) entering into any transactions involving "commodity interests" (as that term is defined in Commission Regulation 1.3, 17 C.F.R. § 1.3 (2021)), for Defendant's own accounts or for any account in which they have a direct or indirect interest;
- (iii) having any commodity interests traded on Defendant's behalf;
- (iv) controlling or directing the trading for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise, in any account involving commodity interests;
- (v) soliciting, receiving, or accepting any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity interests;

- 1 (vi) applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the
2 Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such
3 registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as
4 provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2021);
5
6 (vii) acting as a principal (as that term is defined in Commission Regulation 3.1(a),
7 17 C.F.R. § 3.1(a) (2021)), agent or any other officer or employee of any
8 person registered, exempted from registration or required to be registered with
9 the Commission except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9);

10 D. An order directing Defendant Ooki DAO and any third-party transferee and/or
11 successors thereof, to disgorge to any officer appointed or directed by the Court all benefits
12 received including, but not limited to, trading profits, revenues, salaries, commissions, loans, or
13 fees derived, directly or indirectly, from acts or practices which constitute violations of the Act
14 and Regulation 42.2 as described herein, including pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

15
16 E. An order directing Defendant Ooki DAO and any successors thereof to rescind,
17 pursuant to such procedures as the Court may order, all contracts and agreements, whether
18 implied or express, entered into between, with, or among Defendants and any customer or
19 investor whose funds were received by Defendants as a result of the acts and practices that
20 constituted violations of the Act and Regulation 42.2, as described herein;

21
22 F. An order requiring Defendant Ooki DAO to make full restitution by making whole
23 each and every customer or investor whose funds were received or utilized by them in violation
24 of the provisions of the Act and Regulation 42.2 as described herein, including pre-judgment
25 interest;

26
27 G. An order directing Defendant Ooki DAO to pay civil monetary penalties, to be
28 assessed by the Court, in an amount not more than the penalty prescribed by Section 6c(d)(1) of

1 the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(d)(1), as adjusted for inflation pursuant to the Federal Civil Penalties
2 Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015, Pub. L. 114–74, 129 Stat. 584 (2015), title
3 VII, Section 701, *see* Commission Regulation 143.8, 17 C.F.R. § 143.8 (2021), for each violation
4 of the Act and Regulation 42.2, as described herein;

5
6 H. An order requiring Defendant Ooki DAO to pay costs and fees as permitted by
7 28 U.S.C. §§ 1920 and 2412(a)(2); and

8 I. Such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.
9

10 Dated: September 22, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

11 **COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING**
12 **COMMISSION**

13
14 By: /s/ Anthony C. Biagioli
Tom Simek (DC Bar # 57268), tsimek@cftc.gov
15 TRIAL COUNSEL
Anthony C. Biagioli (MO Bar # 72434),
16 abiagioli@cftc.gov
Attorneys for Plaintiff
17 COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING
COMMISSION
18 2600 Grand Boulevard, Suite 210
Kansas City, MO 64108
19 (816) 960-7700
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Commodity Futures Trading Commission

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Anthony C. Biagioli

US CFTC, 2600 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City MO 64108
(816) 960-7700

DEFENDANTS

Ooki DAO (formerly d/b/a bZx DAO)

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

☒ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff

☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant

☐ 3 Federal Question
(U.S. Government Not a Party)

☐ 4 Diversity
(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<div>110 Insurance</div> <div>120 Marine</div> <div>130 Miller Act</div> <div>140 Negotiable Instrument</div> <div>150 Recovery of Overpayment Of Veteran's Benefits</div> <div>151 Medicare Act</div> <div>152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)</div> <div>153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</div> <div>160 Stockholders' Suits</div> <div>190 Other Contract</div> <div>195 Contract Product Liability</div> <div>196 Franchise</div>	<div><div>PERSONAL INJURY</div><div>310 Airplane</div><div>315 Airplane Product Liability</div><div>320 Assault, Libel & Slander</div><div>330 Federal Employers' Liability</div><div>340 Marine</div><div>345 Marine Product Liability</div><div>350 Motor Vehicle</div><div>355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability</div><div>360 Other Personal Injury</div><div>362 Personal Injury -Medical Malpractice</div></div> <div><div>PERSONAL INJURY</div><div>365 Personal Injury – Product Liability</div><div>367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability</div><div>368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability</div></div> <div><div>PERSONAL PROPERTY</div><div>370 Other Fraud</div><div>371 Truth in Lending</div><div>380 Other Personal Property Damage</div><div>385 Property Damage Product Liability</div></div> <div><div>CIVIL RIGHTS</div><div>440 Other Civil Rights</div><div>441 Voting</div><div>442 Employment</div><div>443 Housing/ Accommodations</div><div>445 Amer. w/Disabilities–Employment</div><div>446 Amer. w/Disabilities–Other</div><div>448 Education</div></div> <div><div>PRISONER PETITIONS</div><div>HABEAS CORPUS</div><div>463 Alien Detainee</div><div>510 Motions to Vacate Sentence</div><div>530 General</div><div>535 Death Penalty</div><div>OTHER</div><div>540 Mandamus & Other</div><div>550 Civil Rights</div><div>555 Prison Condition</div><div>560 Civil Detainee–Conditions of Confinement</div></div>	<div>625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC § 881</div> <div>690 Other</div> <div><div>LABOR</div><div>710 Fair Labor Standards Act</div><div>720 Labor/Management Relations</div><div>740 Railway Labor Act</div><div>751 Family and Medical Leave Act</div><div>790 Other Labor Litigation</div><div>791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act</div><div><div>IMMIGRATION</div><div>462 Naturalization Application</div><div>465 Other Immigration Actions</div></div></div>	<div>422 Appeal 28 USC § 158</div> <div>423 Withdrawal 28 USC § 157</div> <div><div>PROPERTY RIGHTS</div><div>820 Copyrights</div><div>830 Patent</div><div>835 Patent–Abbreviated New Drug Application</div><div>840 Trademark</div><div>880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016</div><div><div>SOCIAL SECURITY</div><div>861 HIA (1395ff)</div><div>862 Black Lung (923)</div><div>863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))</div><div>864 SSID Title XVI</div><div>865 RSI (405(g))</div><div><div>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</div><div>870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)</div><div>871 IRS–Third Party 26 USC § 7609</div></div></div></div>	<div>375 False Claims Act</div> <div>376 Qui Tam (31 USC § 3729(a))</div> <div>400 State Reapportionment</div> <div>410 Antitrust</div> <div>430 Banks and Banking</div> <div>450 Commerce</div> <div>460 Deportation</div> <div>470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations</div> <div>480 Consumer Credit</div> <div>485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act</div> <div>490 Cable/Sat TV</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange</div> <div>890 Other Statutory Actions</div> <div>891 Agricultural Acts</div> <div>893 Environmental Matters</div> <div>895 Freedom of Information Act</div> <div>896 Arbitration</div> <div>899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision</div> <div>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</div>

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

☒ 1 Original Proceeding

☐ 2 Removed from State Court

☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court

☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened

☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)

☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation–Transfer

☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation–Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26

Brief description of cause:

Violations of the Commodity Exchange Act and Commission Regulations

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P.

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☒ No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

☒ SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND

☐ SAN JOSE

☐ EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-CAND 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet. The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the “defendant” is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section “(see attachment).”
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an “X” in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
- (1) United States plaintiff. Jurisdiction based on 28 USC §§ 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
 - (2) United States defendant. When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an “X” in this box.
 - (3) Federal question. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - (4) Diversity of citizenship. This refers to suits under 28 USC § 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an “X” in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin.** Place an “X” in one of the six boxes.
- (1) Original Proceedings. Cases originating in the United States district courts.
 - (2) Removed from State Court. Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 USC § 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - (3) Remanded from Appellate Court. Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - (4) Reinstated or Reopened. Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
 - (5) Transferred from Another District. For cases transferred under Title 28 USC § 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - (6) Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 USC § 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.
 - (8) Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. Check this box when a multidistrict litigation case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. Please note that there is no Origin Code 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC § 553. Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an “X” in this box if you are filing a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS-CAND 44 is used to identify related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.
- IX. Divisional Assignment.** If the Nature of Suit is under Property Rights or Prisoner Petitions or the matter is a Securities Class Action, leave this section blank. For all other cases, identify the divisional venue according to Civil Local Rule 3-2: “the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated.”
- Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.