LOAN NO. 1: FIESTA DEVELOPMENT, INC / ADP LOAN (Count 3)

For the Fiesta Development, Inc. / ADP Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Fiesta Development, Inc. / ADP Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	YES
Mr. Koon	YES

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to IndyMac Bank, F.S.B. ("Bank") in their conduct with regard to the Fiesta Development, Inc. / ADP Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"		
Mr. Van Dellen	YES		
Mr. Shellem	YES		
Mr. Koon	YES		

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Fiesta Development, Inc. / ADP Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 2: CORINTHIAN HOMES ANATOLIA LOAN (Count 10)

For the Corinthian Homes Anatolia Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Corinthian Homes Anatolia Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"		
Mr. Van Dellen	YES_		
Mr. Shellem	YES		
Mr. Koon			

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Corinthian Homes Anatolia Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"		
Mr. Van Dellen	YEG		
Mr. Shellem	Yes		
Mr. Koon	YES		

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Corinthian Homes Anatolia Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 3: CORINTHIAN HOMES VALLEY RANCH ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT LOAN (Count 11)

For the Corinthian Homes Valley Ranch Acquisition and Development

Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Corinthian Homes Valley Ranch Acquisition and Development Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"		
Mr. Van Dellen	YES		
Mr. Shellem	YES		
Mr. Koon			

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Corinthian Homes Valley Ranch Acquisition and Development Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"		
Mr. Van Dellen	Yes		
Mr. Shellem	YES		
Mr. Koon	YES		

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Corinthian Homes Valley Ranch Acquisition and Development Loan?

					0,78	nent 596 F #:35831					
1							41	+ loon			
2		Piea	ise pro	oceea	to your	verdict on	the nex	i ioaii.		, njeron i no	
3	and the second of the second o		28 (19 a) (19 a)	New York Charles	47.55.4					 	
5											
6.									,		
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13				٠.							
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
26											
27											
28						-4-					

LOAN NO. 4: CORINTHIAN HOMES EDGEWATER CONSTRUCTION LOANS (Count 12)

For the Corinthian Homes Edgewater Construction Loans:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Corinthian Homes Edgewater Construction Loans?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"		
Mr. Van Dellen	YES		
Mr. Shellem	YES		
Mr. Koon	YES		

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Corinthian Homes Edgewater Construction Loans?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"		
Mr. Van Dellen	<u>res</u>		
Mr. Shellem			
Mr. Koon			

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Corinthian Homes Edgewater Construction Loans?

\$ 1,904,213

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 5: CORINTHIAN HOMES VALLEY RANCH CONSTRUCTION LOANS (Count 13)

For the Corinthian Homes Valley Ranch Construction Loans:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Corinthian Homes Valley Ranch Construction Loans?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	VES_

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Corinthian Homes Valley Ranch Construction Loans?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	Yes

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Corinthian Homes Valley Ranch Construction Loans?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 6: DR. VISH BLUFF HOUSE AND ANASTASIA SHORES LOAN (Count 15)

For the Dr. Vish Bluff House and Anastasia Shores Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Dr. Vish Bluff House and Anastasia Shores Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"		
Mr. Van Dellen	YES		
Mr. Shellem			
Mr. Koon	NO		

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Dr. Vish Bluff House and Anastasia Shores Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	YPS
Mr. Koon	NO

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Dr. Vish Bluff House and Anastasia Shores Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 7: DR. VISH HAWTHORNE GRANDE LOAN (Count 16)

For the Dr. Vish Bluff Hawthorne Grande Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Dr. Vish Hawthorne Grande Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	<u>res</u>
Mr. Shellem	<u>+85</u>
Mr. Koon	

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Dr. Vish Hawthorne Grande Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	465
Mr. Shellem	YES
Mr. Koon	YES

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Dr. Vish Hawthorne Grande Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 8: REYNEN & BARDIS QUAIL HOLLOW LOAN (Count 2	LOAN NO. 8:	REYNEN	& BARDIS	QUAIL	HOLLOV	V LOAN (Count 2
--------------------------------------------------------	-------------	---------------	----------	-------	--------	-----------------

For the Reynen & Bardis Quail Hollow Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Quail Hollow Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"	
Mr. Van Dellen	<u> </u>	
Mr. Shellem	<u> PES</u>	
Mr. Koon	res	

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Quail Hollow Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	<u> </u>
Mr. Shellem	<u>res</u>
Mr. Koon	<u> YES</u>

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Quail Hollow Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 9: REYNEN & BARDIS OAK VALLEY LOAN (Count 27)

For the Reynen & Bardis Oak Valley Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Oak Valley Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"	
Mr. Van Dellen	MES	
Mr. Shellem	YES	
Mr. Koon	485	

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Oak Valley Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"	
Mr. Van Dellen	<u>4ES</u>	
Mr. Shellem		
Mr. Koon	Y65	

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Oak Valley Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 10: REYNEN & BARDIS EDGEWATER UNIT 13, 14 AND 15 LOANS (Count 28)

For the Reynen & Bardis Edgewater Unit 13, 14, and 15 Loans:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Edgewater Unit 13, 14, and 15 Loans?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	NO
Mr. Koon	YES_

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Edgewater Unit 13, 14, and 15 Loans?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	
Mr. Koon	YES

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Edgewater Unit 13, 14, and 15 Loans?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

-12-

25

26

27

1	LOAN NO. 12: RIVER PARK AT DAYTON LOAN (Count 30)
2	
3	(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the River Park at Dayton Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	
Mr. Shellem	<u>ves</u>

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the River Park at Dayton Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	YES

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the River Park at Dayton Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 13: REYNEN & BARDIS SPRING LAKE LOAN (Count 31)

For the Reynen & Bardis Spring Lake Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Spring Lake Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	No
Mr. Koon	YES

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Spring Lake Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	ND
Mr. Koon	YES

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Reynen & Bardis Spring Lake Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

LOAN NO. 14: DECAL CUSTOM HOMES AND CONSTRUCTION INC. / MURRAY & JENKINS LOAN (Count 32)

For the DeCal Custom Homes and Construction Inc. / Murray &

Jenkins Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the DeCal Custom Homes and Construction Inc. / Murray & Jenkins Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	
Mr. Koon	

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the DeCal Custom Homes and Construction Inc. / Murray & Jenkins Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	<u> </u>
Mr. Shellem	Yes
Mr. Koon	

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the DeCal Custom Homes and Construction Inc. / Murray &

1	Jenkins L	∠oan?								***	
2		\$_	2,	427,	304						
3		Ple	ase pro	ceed to	your ver	dict on 1	the next	loan.			
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11				•							
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18			•								
19											
20											
21											
22	·								 		
23											
24											
25											
26											
27						1					

LOAN NO. 15: DECAL CUSTOM HOMES AND CONSTRUCTION INC. / EAGLES LOFT CONDOMINIUMS LOAN (Count 33)

For the DeCal Custom Homes and Construction Inc. / Eagles Loft

Condominiums Loan:

3.

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the DeCal Custom Homes and Construction Inc. / Eagles Loft Condominiums Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"			
Mr. Van Dellen	<u> </u>			
Mr. Shellem	_ YES			
Mr. Koon	_ Yes			

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the DeCal Custom Homes and Construction Inc. / Eagles Loft Condominiums Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	YES_
Mr. Koon	YES

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the DeCal Custom Homes and Construction Inc. / Eagles

С	ase 2:10-cv-04915-DSF-CW Document 596 Filed 12/07/12 Page 19 of 27 Page ID #:35845									
1	Loft Condominiums Loan?									
2	\$ 13,625,071									
3	Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.	-								
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10 11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23 24										
25										
26										
27										
28										

LOAN NO. 16: JOSHUA RANCH ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT LOAN

(Count 34)

For the Joshua Ranch Acquisition and Development Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Joshua Ranch Acquisition and Development Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"			
Mr. Van Dellen	YES			
Mr. Shellem	<u> YES</u>			
Mr. Koon	<u> </u>			

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Joshua Ranch Acquisition and Development Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"			
Mr. Van Dellen	YES			
Mr. Shellem	YES			
Mr. Koon	YES			

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Joshua Ranch Acquisition and Development Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

Case 2:10-cv-04915-DSF-CW	Document 596	Filed 12/07/12	Page 21 of 27	Page ID
	#:3584			

LOAN NO. 17:	JOSHUA RANCH	CONSTRUCTION	LOAN (Count 35)

For the Joshua Ranch Construction Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the following Defendant was negligent in his conduct with regard to the Joshua Ranch Construction Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that following Defendant breached his fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in his conduct with regard to the Joshua Ranch Construction Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES_

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to the above Defendant, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to the above Defendant, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant Van Dellen with regard to the Joshua Ranch Construction Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

C	se 2:10-cv-04915-DSF-CW Document 596 #:35848		
1	LOAN NO. 18: NEUMANN HOMES LOAN (Count 37)		
2			
3.	(a) Do you find by a prepond	lerance of the evidence that any of the	
4	following Defendants were negligent in their	conduct with regard to the Neumann Homes	
5	Loan?		
6	Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"	
7	Mr. Van Dellen	YES	
8	Mr. Shellem	LPS	
9	Mr. Koon		
10			
11	(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the		
12	following Defendants breached their fiducian	ry duty of care to the Bank in their conduct	
13	with regard to the Neumann Homes Loan?		
14	Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"	
15	Mr. Van Dellen	YES	
16	Mr. Shellem	<u>Yes</u>	
17	Mr. Koon	YES	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

-21-

LOAN NO. 19: MOUNTAIN VIEW BRAVO S.K.Y. 21 LLC / SECTION 21 LOAN

(Count 54)

For the Mountain View Bravo S.K.Y. 21 LLC / Section 21 Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Mountain View Bravo S.K.Y. 21 LLC / Section 21 Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	<u>res</u>
Mr. Shellem	<u>Yes</u>

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Mountain View Bravo S.K.Y. 21 LLC / Section 21 Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	<u> Yes</u>

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Mountain View Bravo S.K.Y. 21 LLC / Section 21 Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(Count 55)

For the Mountain View Bravo S&J Alfalfa Inc. / Section 19 Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants were negligent in their conduct with regard to the Mountain View Bravo S&J Alfalfa Inc. / Section 19 Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following Defendants breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in their conduct with regard to the Mountain View Bravo S&J Alfalfa Inc. / Section 19 Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	YES
Mr. Shellem	YES

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to any of the above Defendants, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to each of the above Defendants, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant(s) with regard to the Mountain View Bravo S&J Alfalfa Inc. / Section 19 Loan?

Please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.

Ca	ase 2:10-	cv-04915-DSF-CW Document 596 F #:35851	Filed 12/07/12 Page 25 of 27 Page ID	
1	LOAN NO. 21: MOUNTAIN VIEW BRAVO SYCAMORE VILLAS			
2	DEVELOPMENT LLC / SUMMER MOON II LOAN (Count 56)			
3		For the Mountain View Bravo	Sycamore Villas Development LLC /	
4	Summer Moon II Loan:			
5	(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the following			
6	Defend	ant was negligent in his conduct with	regard to the Mountain View Bravo	
7	7 Sycamore Villas Development LLC / Summer Moon II Loan?			
8		Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"	
9		Mr. Van Dellen	YES	
10				
11	(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the following			
12	Defendant breached his fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in his conduct with regard to			
13	the Mountain View Bravo Sycamore Villas Development LLC / Summer Moon II Loan?			
14		Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"	
15		Mr. Van Dellen	405	
16				
17	If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to the above			
18	Defendant, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a)			
19	and (b) as to the above Defendant, please proceed to your verdict on the next loan.			
20	(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of			
21	Defendant Van Dellen with regard to the Mountain View Bravo Sycamore Villas			
22	Development LLC / Summer Moon II Loan?			
23	\$ 919,697			
24		Please proceed to your verdict or	n the next loan.	
25				
26				
27				

-24-

26

27

LOAN NO. 23: ROKAS INTERNATIONAL LOAN (Count 58)

For the Rokas International Loan:

(a) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the following Defendant was negligent in his conduct with regard to the Rokas International Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	<u> </u>

(b) Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the following Defendant breached his fiduciary duty of care to the Bank in his conduct with regard to the Rokas International Loan?

Defendant	Answer: "Yes" or "No"
Mr. Van Dellen	<u> </u>

If you answered "Yes" in either Question (a) or (b) as to the above Defendant, please answer the next question. If you answered "No" in both Questions (a) and (b) as to the above Defendant, presiding juror please sign and date this verdict.

(c) What are the damages you award the Plaintiff for the conduct of Defendant Van Dellen with regard to the Rokas International Loan?

Presiding juror please sign and date this verdict.

Dated: $\frac{12/07/12}{}$



REDACTED FOR PUBLIC VIEW