IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU; and RUSSELL VOUGHT, in his official capacity as Acting Director of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-2966-ACR

STATUS REPORT AND JOINT MOTION TO STAY

On February 21, pursuant to Section 7(f) of the Court's Standing Order, Plaintiff
Financial Technology Association filed a Request for Pre-Motion Conference indicating that it
intended to move for summary judgment on its challenge to an interpretive rule issued by the
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Truth in Lending (Regulation Z); Use of Digital User
Accounts to Access Buy Now, Pay Later Loans, 89 Fed. Reg. 47,068 (May 31, 2024) (the
Interpretive Rule). See ECF No. 16. Defendants Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and
Russell Vought, in his official capacity as Acting Director of the Bureau, filed a response to
Plaintiff's Request for a Pre-Motion Conference on February 28. ECF No. 18. In that response,
Defendants requested that the Court not schedule a pre-motion conference nor set a briefing
schedule while the Bureau's new leadership reviewed the Interpretive Rule. Id. Defendants
proposed instead that they submit a status report to the Court by March 31 regarding whether

Defendants intended to defend the Interpretive Rule. *Id.* In accordance with that proposal, Defendants now submit this status report, and the parties jointly move to stay this matter.

The Bureau is planning to revoke the Interpretive Rule. To allow time for the Bureau to do so, the parties jointly request that the Court stay this litigation until the Interpretive Rule is revoked. The Bureau proposes to provide a status report with the Court by June 2, and every 30 days thereafter, regarding its progress toward revocation.

"A district court has 'broad discretion to stay proceedings as an incident to its power to control its docket." *Nat'l PFAS Contamination Coal. v. EPA*, No. CV 22-132 (JDB), 2023 WL 22078, at *3 (D.D.C. Jan. 3, 2023) (quoting *Clinton v. Jones*, 520 U.S. 681, 706 (1997)). A trial court may enter a stay where "it is efficient for its own docket and the fairest course for the parties" to do so. *Id.* (quoting *Hisler v. Gallaudet Univ.*, 344 F. Supp. 2d 29, 35 (D.D.C. 2004)).

Here, the Bureau's revocation of the Interpretive Rule will moot the issues raised in this litigation. Staying this matter to allow the Bureau time to revoke the Interpretive Rule is appropriate to preserve the Court's and the parties' time. *Cf. id.* at *5 ("When an agency has a defined plan to promulgate a rule that would resolve the case and thereby save judicial resources, it is often proper for courts to stay proceedings while rulemaking proceeds."). Accordingly, the parties request that the Court stay this matter until the Interpretive Rule is revoked and order that the Bureau provide a status report regarding its progress toward revocation by June 2, and every 30 days thereafter.

Dated: March 26, 2025

/s/ John R. Coleman

John R. Coleman, D.C. Bar No. 90002867
Walter E. Zalenski, D.C. Bar No. 395205
ORRICK, HERRINGTON
& SUTCLIFFE LLP
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20037-3202
(202) 349-8006
jrcoleman@orrick.com

Nicholas González
ORRICK, HERRINGTON
& SUTCLIFFE LLP
355 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 629-2020
ngonzalez@orrick.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Financial Technology Association

Respectfully submitted,

Steven Y. Bressler

Deputy General Counsel

Christopher Deal

Assistant General Counsel

/s/ Amanda J. Krause

Amanda J. Krause
Senior Counsel
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
1700 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20552
(202) 435-7965 (phone)
(202) 435-7024 (fax)
amanda.krause@cfpb.gov

Counsel for Defendants the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau and Russell Vought

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION,	
Plaintiff,	
v. CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU; and RUSSELL VOUGHT, in his official capacity as Acting Director of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Defendants.	Case No. 1:24-cv-2966-ACR
[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO STAY	
The Court hereby GRANTS the Joint Motion to Stay. Accordingly, this action is	
STAYED until the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau revokes the interpretive rule	
challenged by Plaintiff in this action, Truth in Lending (Regulation Z); Use of Digital User	
Accounts to Access Buy Now, Pay Later Loans, 89 Fed. Reg. 47,068 (May 31, 2024).	
Defendants are further ORDERED to submit a status report by June 2, 2025, and every	
30 days thereafter, regarding the Bureau's progress toward revocation of the Interpretive Rule.	
It is so ORDERED .	

The Honorable Ana C. Reyes United States District Judge

Dated: ______, 2025