

PATRICK D. ROBBINS (CABN 152288)  
Acting United States Attorney  
PAMELA T. JOHANN (CABN 145558)  
Chief, Civil Division  
KELSEY J. HELLAND (CABN 298888)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102-3495

ERIC HAMILTON  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General  
DIANE KELLEHER  
Branch Director  
CHRISTOPHER HALL  
Assistant Branch Director  
JAMES D. TODD, JR.  
Senior Trial Counsel  
YURI S. FUCHS  
Trial Attorney  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
P.O. Box 883  
Washington, DC 20044

*Counsel for Defendants*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF  
GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES, *et al.*

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONEL  
MANAGEMENT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-1780-WHA

**NOTICE IN RESPONSE TO THE  
COURT'S THIRD REQUEST FOR  
INFORMATION**

The Hon. William H. Alsup

1 Defendants respond to this Court’s March 17, 2025, Third Request for Information  
2 (“Request”), ECF No. 138. In that Request, the Court referenced “news reports that, in at least  
3 one agency, probationary employees are being rehired but then placed on administrative leave *en*  
4 *masse*” and stated that “[t]his is not allowed by the preliminary injunction, for it would not  
5 restore the services the preliminary injunction intends to restore.” Request. The Court ordered  
6 Defendants to “state the extent to which any rehired probationary employees are being placed on  
7 administrative leave.” *Id.*

8 Defendants note that in a separate proceeding the government filed a status report on  
9 March 17, 2025, explaining the actions taken by 21 Executive Branch agencies to comply with a  
10 temporary restraining order (“TRO”) requiring the reinstatement of terminated probationary  
11 employees. *See* Status Rep., *State of Maryland, et al. v. U. S. Dep’t of Agric., et al.*, No. 1:25-cv-  
12 748 (D. Md. Mar. 17, 2025), ECF No. 52, (“*State of Maryland*”), attached as Ex. 1; TRO, *State*  
13 *of Maryland, supra*, ECF No. 44 (requiring reinstatement of probationary employees terminated  
14 on or after January 20, 2025), attached as Ex. 2.

15 As highlighted in agency declarations attached to that status report, administrative leave  
16 is not being used to skirt the requirement of reinstatement but is merely a first part of a series of  
17 steps to reinstate probationary employees. For example, a declaration submitted by an official  
18 from the U.S. Department of Energy (“DOE”), which is a named defendant in both *State of*  
19 *Maryland* and in this case, is illustrative. *See* Decl. of Reesha Trznadel, *State of Maryland,*  
20 *supra*, ECF No. 52-1 at 5-8, attached as Ex. 3. As Ms. Trznadel explains, “Affected Probationary  
21 Employees have been placed in a retroactive Administrative Leave status that will continue until  
22 their badging and IT access are restored, at which time they will be converted to an Active Duty  
23 status” and “DOE continues working to reinstate employees by working with Agency leadership  
24 to arrange for an orderly return to the office (onboarding) while the employees are in an  
25 administrative leave status.” Trznadel Decl. ¶ 12, at 7.

26 The declaration of an official with the U.S. Department of Agriculture (“USDA”), which  
27 is also a defendant in both *State of Maryland* and in this case, further confirms this. *See* Decl. of  
28 Mary Pletcher Rice, ECF No. 52-1 at 55–58. Ms. Pletcher Rice’s declaration explains that “[a]s

1 part of a phased plan for return-to-duty, upon returning to pay status, the Affected Probationary  
2 Employee will initially be placed on paid administrative leave” and that in the meantime “USDA  
3 is acting diligently to complete the administrative steps related to notifying the Affected  
4 Probationary Employees of their reinstatement, processing the reinstatements for purposes of all  
5 relevant USDA record systems, and returning the reinstated employees to duty status.” Pletcher  
6 Rice Decl. ¶ 5, at 57. Likewise, the declaration of an agency official with the Department of  
7 Veterans Affairs (“VA”) explains that the agency “for all intents and purposes, reinstated all  
8 Affected Probationary Employees, placing them in an initial administrative leave status with full  
9 pay and benefits, effective March 17, 2025,” and was “acting diligently to complete additional  
10 administrative processes related to the reinstatement of these employees.” Decl. of Mark  
11 Engelbaum ¶ 10, ECF No. 52-1 at 61–62. Additionally, the VA official stated that “Affected  
12 Probationary Employees will also receive back pay from the date of termination to the date of  
13 reinstatement.” *Id.*

14 Defendants understand that, without this context, the Court may have had questions about  
15 whether the placement of employees on administrative leave was an effort to avoid the injunction  
16 but hope this clarification explains that this placement is an administrative, intermediate measure  
17 taken by a number of the agencies in order to return probationary employees to full duty status.  
18 Defendants propose to file a report with the Court on March 28, 2025, with any further updates  
19 about the return of probationary employees to full duty status.

1 Dated: March 18, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

2 PATRICK D. ROBBINS (CABN 152288)  
Acting United States Attorney  
3 PAMELA T. JOHANN (CABN 145558)  
Chief, Civil Division  
4 KELSEY J. HELLAND (CABN 298888)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
5 U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
6 San Francisco, California 94102-3495

7 ERIC HAMILTON  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

8 DIANE KELLEHER  
Branch Director

9 CHRISTOPHER HALL  
Assistant Branch Director

10 JAMES D. TODD, JR.  
Senior Trial Counsel

11 s/ Yuri S. Fuchs  
12 YURI S. FUCHS  
Trial Attorney  
13 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
14 P.O. Box 883  
15 Washington, DC 20044

16 *Counsel for Defendants*  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28