

# 1:25cv381, National Treasury Employees Union V. Vought

US District Court Docket  
United States District Court, District of Columbia  
(Washington, DC)

This case was retrieved on **12/11/2025**

## Header

---

**Case Number:** 1:25cv381  
**Date Filed:** 02/09/2025  
**Assigned To:** Judge Amy Berman Jackson  
**Nature of Suit:** Other Statutes - Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision (899)  
**Cause:** Declaratory Judgment  
**Lead Docket:** None  
**Other Docket:** USCA, 25-05091, USCA, 25-05132  
**Jurisdiction:** U.S. Government Defendant

**Class Code:** Open  
**Statute:** 28:2201  
**Jury Demand:** None  
**Demand Amount:** \$0

**NOS Description:** Other Statutes - Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision

## Participants

---

### Litigants

National Treasury Employees Union  
**Plaintiff**

### Attorneys

Deepak Gupta  
LEAD ATTORNEY; ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED  
GUPTA WESSLER LLP  
2001 K Street, Nw Suite 850 North  
Washington, DC 20006  
USA  
202-888-1741 Fax: 202-888-7792  
Email: Deepak@guptawessler.Com

Adam R. Pulver  
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED  
[Terminated: 12/05/2025]  
PUBLIC CITIZEN LITIGATION GROUP  
1600 20th Street, Nw  
Washington, DC 20009  
USA  
(202) 588-7790 Email: Apulver@citizen.Org

Adina H. Rosenbaum  
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED  
[Terminated: 12/05/2025]  
PUBLIC CITIZEN LITIGATION GROUP  
1600 20th Street, Nw  
Washington, DC 20009  
USA  
(202) 588-1000 Fax: (202) 588-7795  
Email: Arosenbaum@citizen.Org

Allison Conrey Giles

1:25cv381, National Treasury Employees Union V. Vought

#	Date	Proceeding Text	Source
		(Rosenbaum, Adina) (Entered: 12/05/2025)	
160	12/08/2025	Memorandum in opposition to re 148 MOTION to Clarify filed by CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU, RUSSELL T. VOUGHT. (Roberts, Charles) (Entered: 12/08/2025)	
161	12/09/2025	RESPONSE re 156 MOTION for Leave to File Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Clarify filed by CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU, RUSSELL T. VOUGHT. (Roberts, Charles) (Entered: 12/09/2025)	
	12/10/2025	MINUTE ORDER granting 156 Motion for Leave to File Amicus Brief. On December 5, 2025, a group of former Federal Reserve Officials moved to submit an amicus brief in support of plaintiffs' pending motion to clarify 148 . Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(o)(2), the Court established a date by which any objection would be due, and the defendants have filed a timely response 161 . The motion will be granted in the Court's discretion notwithstanding the defendants' suggestion that it was untimely under internal Department of Justice policy, which does not govern this Court. Defendants point to the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and a non-binding ruling by a court in the Southern District of Mississippi which observed, "[w]hile no rule specifically governs amicus status in district court proceedings, courts typically apply Rule 29 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure." In the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, though, there is a specific rule that governs amicus status, and it includes no time limit; instead, it says, "[t]he amicus brief shall be filed within such time as the Court may allow." LCvR 7(o)(3). Moreover, defendants were not prejudiced by any supposed delay in the filing of the proposed amicus brief; the Court gave them time to review it and inform the Court of their position, and the timing was the only grounds cited for why it should not be received. Since the matters addressed in the proposed brief are directly relevant to the disposition of the motion, and the amici bring specialized knowledge and experience to the question to be decided, the motion is GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court is directed to file the amicus brief [156-1] on the docket. Signed by Judge Amy Berman Jackson on 12/10/2025. (lcem) (Entered: 12/10/2025)	